Qual NLA Prep Sols

Mathew Tucker

NLA

1. Let the eigenvalues of an $n \times n$ real symmetric matric A be ordered from the largest to the smallest. Prove that for any $1 \le k \le n$,

$$\lambda_k = \max_{S^k} \min_{0 \neq x \in S^k} r(x, A),$$

where S^k is any k dimensional subspace of \mathbb{R}^n , and r(x,A) is the Rayleigh quotient $\frac{x^TAx}{x^Tx}$.

Reread Wiki's Proof of Min-max Theorem

(a) Prove that the growth factor $\rho = \frac{\|U\|_{max}}{\|A\|_{max}}$ is unbounded for LU factorization without pivoting.

Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{c}{a} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d - \frac{bc}{a} \end{pmatrix}$$

we have that

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\epsilon} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon & 1 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{\epsilon} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, defining $\|M\|_{max} = \max_{i,j} \left|m_{i,j}\right|$, then as $\rho = \frac{\|u\|_{max}}{\|A\|_{max}}$ we get that

$$\rho = \frac{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}{1} = \frac{1}{\epsilon}.$$

But, ϵ is arbitrarily small, and so as $\epsilon \to \infty$ we find $\rho \to \infty$ and is therefor unbounded.

(b) Prove that the growth factor is bounded by 2^{m-1} for LU factorization of $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ with row pivoting.

We have

$$\underbrace{L_{m-1}\cdots L_2L_1}_{L^{-1}}A=U \Longrightarrow A=LU$$

For all $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$, the $\max\left(\frac{\|L\|\|U\|}{\|A\|}\right)$ is unbounded without pivoting where $\left|\left(L_k\right)_{ik}\right| \leq 1$. This implies that

$$||A_k|| \le 2||A_{k-1}||_{max} \Longrightarrow ||A_{m-1}||_{max} \le 2^{m-1}||A||_{max}.$$

Therefore, the growth factor $\rho = \frac{\|L\|_{max} \|U\|_{max}}{\|A\|_{max}} \leq 2^{m-1}.$

3. A is a diagonalizable matrix with one eigenvalue being -1, and others residing in the unit disk centered at 2 in the complex plane. Prove that the solution to Ax = b through GMRES algorithm has error

$$||e_n|| \leq K2^{-n}$$

for some constant K.

Theorem 35.2 states,

at step n of the GMRES iteration, the residual r_n satisfies,

$$\frac{\|r_n\|}{\|b\|} \leq \inf_{p_n \in P_n} \|p_n(A)\| \leq \kappa(V) \inf_{p_n \in P_n} \|p_n\|_{\Lambda(A)} \leq \kappa(v) \inf_{p \in P_n} \max_{\lambda \in \Lambda(A)} |p(\lambda)|.$$

where $\Lambda(A)$ is the set of eigenvalues of A, V is a nonsingular matrix of eigenvectors, and $\|p_n\|_{\Lambda(A)}$ is defined by $\|p\|_S = \sup_{x \in S} |p(z)|$.

We can choose a polynomial

$$\hat{p}(z) = (1+z)q(z) = (1+z)\left(1-\frac{z}{2}\right)^{n-1}$$

so that

$$\max_{1 \leq \lambda \leq 3} |q(\lambda)| \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-1}$$

or equivalently

$$\max_{|\lambda-2|\leq 1}|q(\lambda)|\leq 2^{1-n}.$$

Thus we have

$$\|r_n\| \leq \kappa(V)\|b\| \max_{\lambda \in \Lambda(A)} |p(\lambda)| = \kappa(V)\|b\| \max_{|\lambda-2| \leq 1} |\lambda+1| |q(\lambda)| \leq \kappa(V)\|b\| \max_{|\lambda-2| \leq 1} |\lambda+1| |q(\lambda)|$$

$$\leq \kappa(V) \|b\| \|3 + 1\|2^{1-n} = \kappa(V) \|b\| 2^{3-n}$$

Finally,

$$||e_n|| = ||A^{-1}r_n|| \le (8 \kappa(V)||b|||A^{-1}||)2^n,$$

and as $\Big(8 \ \kappa(V) \|b\| \|A^{-1}\|\Big)$ is constant we have our proof.

(a) State and prove the Bauer-Fike Theorem.

The Bauer-Fike Theorem states:

if
$$A = XDX^{-1}$$
, then dist $(\lambda(A + B), \Lambda(A)) \le \kappa(X) ||B||$.

To prove, let's assume $(A+B)x=\lambda x$. Let x=Xy and $C=X^{-1}BX$, then we find

$$(A+B)x = \lambda x$$

$$= (XDX^{-1} + B)x = \lambda x$$

$$= (XDX^{-1} + B)Xy = \lambda Xy$$

$$= X^{-1}(XDX^{-1} + B)Xy = \lambda y$$

$$= (DX^{-1} + X^{-1}B)Xy = \lambda y$$

$$= (D + X^{-1}BX)y = \lambda y$$

$$= (D + X^{-1}BX)y = \lambda$$

$$= (D+C)y = \lambda y$$

$$\implies Cy = \lambda y - Dy = (\lambda I - D)y$$

Hence we have that,

$$dist(\lambda, \Lambda(A)) ||y|| \le ||(\lambda I - D)y|| = ||Cy|| \le ||C|| ||y||$$

yielding

$$\operatorname{dist}(\lambda, \Lambda(A)) \leq ||C||$$
.

And as $C = X^{-1}BX$ we get that

$$||C|| \le ||X^{-1}|| ||B|| ||X|| = \kappa(X) ||B||.$$

Hence,

$$\operatorname{dist}(\lambda,\Lambda(A))\|y\| \leq \kappa(X)\|B\|$$

and our proof. ■

(b) Show that the eigenvalue problem for Hermitian matrices is well-conditioned.

On pg 199????

- (c) Give an example that this is not true for the non-Hermitian matricies.
 - $\begin{pmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

5. **Let**

Determine the least square solution to the over-determined linear system Ax = b.

First lets find A,

Now, we need to find the solution to the normal equation, $A^*Ax = A^*b$. Since A is full rank, the solution to the least squares problem is $x = (A^*A)^{-1}A^*b$.

Let's solve this in parts:

First,

$$A^*A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 3 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 3 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 4 \\ 4 & 20 \end{pmatrix}$$

so,

$$(A^*A)^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(A^*A)} \operatorname{adj}(A^*A) = \frac{1}{64} \begin{pmatrix} 20 & -4 \\ -4 & 4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Next,

$$A^*b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence we have that,

$$x = \frac{1}{64} \begin{pmatrix} 20 & -4 \\ -4 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{7}{4} \\ \frac{-1}{4} \end{pmatrix}.$$

6. Prove that the convergence of Rayleigh quotient iteration for a hermitian matrix is ultimately cubic.

Recall, normal matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ is orthogonally diagonalizable and the Rayleigh quotient of a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is the scalar

$$r(x,A) = r(x) = \frac{x^T A x}{x^T x}.$$

Observe, $r(x, A) = \lambda$, λ an eigenvalue of A, if x is an eigenvector of A.

Let q_i be orthonormal eigenvectors of A with distinct eigenvalues. Then any vector x_n can be written as $x_n = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i q_i$, the linear combination of q_i . As $Aq_l = \lambda_l q_l$ we get that,

$$r(x_n) = \frac{a_1^2 \lambda_1 + \dots + a_k^2 \lambda_k}{a_1^2 + \dots + a_k^2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k a_i^2 \lambda_i}{\sum_{i=1}^k a_i^2}.$$

Assuming x_n converges to q_1 , then $a_1 \approx 1$ and, for $i \neq 1$, $a_i = O(\theta)$ where θ is small; and, we get that,

$$r(x_n) = \frac{a_1^2 \lambda_1 + \dots + a_k^2 \lambda_k}{a_1^2 + \dots + a_k^2} = \frac{a_1^2 \lambda_1 + O(\theta^2)}{a_1^2 + O(\theta^2)} = \lambda_1 + O(\theta^2).$$

Applying the Rayleigh quotient iteration we get,

$$x_{n+1} = (A - r(x_n)I)^{-1}x_n = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{a_i}{\lambda_i - r(x_n)}q_i = \frac{a_1}{O(\theta^2)}q_1 + \dots + \frac{O(\theta)}{\lambda_k - \lambda_1 + O(\theta^2)}q_k$$

which is parallel to

$$a_1q_1+\cdots+\frac{O(\theta^3)}{\lambda_k-\lambda_1}q_k$$
.

And after nomalization, $\|x_{n+1}-x_n\| \to \|x_{n+1}-q_1\| = O(\theta^3)$, hence we have cubic convergence.

7. Suppose A is a real symmetric matrix with eigenvalues more or less uniformly distributed over [2,18] together with an outlier at $\lambda=50$. How many steps of the conjugate gradient iteration must be taken to be sure of reducing the initial error $\|e_0\|_A$ by a factor of 2^{20} ?

By theorem 38.5, if all the eigenvalues are in [2,18], then the A-norms of the error satisfy,

$$\frac{\|e_n\|_A}{\|e_0\|_A} \leq 2\left(\frac{\sqrt{\kappa}-1}{\sqrt{\kappa}+1}\right)^n.$$

Here $\kappa = \frac{18}{2} = 9$ and so,

$$\frac{\|e_n\|_A}{\|e_0\|_A} \leq 2 \left(\frac{\sqrt{\kappa}-1}{\sqrt{\kappa}+1}\right)^n = 2 \left(\frac{\sqrt{9}-1}{\sqrt{9}+1}\right)^n = 2 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-1}.$$

Including $\lambda=50$ we get that $\frac{\|e_n\|_A}{\|e_0\|_A} \leq (2)2^{1-n}$. And finally, setting $2^{-20}=2^{2-n}$ we find n=22

8. Derive the asymptotic operation count of Gaussian elimination applied on an $m \times m$ real matrix A.

Per Trefethen(pg 151), as the work of the algorithm is dominated by the inner most loop, $u_{j,k:m} = u_{j,k:m} - l_{j,k}u_{k,k:m}$, it is sufficient to consider just this part. Observe, for the k-iteration of our algorithm, we are only acting on m-k+1 elements (the "plus one" is an artifact of counting) twice. Hence we will need to take a double sum, representing each loop, of 2(m-k+1). As our outer loop is for k=1 to m-1 and our inner loop is for j=k+1 to m we have that the number of flops is

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \sum_{j=k+1}^{m} 2(m-k+1).$$

Reindexing our inner summation with j = 1 we find

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m-1}\sum_{j=1}^{m-k}2(m-k+1)\sim\sum_{k=1}^{m-1}\sum_{j=1}^{m-k}2(m-k)=\sum_{k=1}^{m-1}2(m-k)(m-k)\sim\sum_{k=1}^{m-1}2k^2=2\frac{(m-1)m(2m+1)}{6}\sim\frac{2m^3}{3}\text{ flops.}$$

9. Given

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \epsilon & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \epsilon \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \epsilon = 10^{-9}$$

(a) Find A^*A and the 2-norm $\kappa(A)$.

As ϵ is real,

$$A^* = A^T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \epsilon & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \epsilon & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \epsilon \end{pmatrix}$$

and we get that

$$A^*A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \epsilon & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \epsilon & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \epsilon \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \epsilon & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \epsilon \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \epsilon^2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 + \epsilon^2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 + \epsilon^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

which can be decomposed as the sum of two matrix,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1+\epsilon^2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1+\epsilon^2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1+\epsilon^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \epsilon^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, to find the eigenvalues of A^*A it is sufficient to add ϵ to the eigenvalues of

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Solving $|A-\lambda I|=0$ we find the eigenvalues of M are $\{3,0,0\}$; and, thus the eigenvalues of A^*A are $\{3+\epsilon^2,\epsilon^2,\epsilon^2\}$. Therefore, $\kappa(A)=\sqrt{\frac{3+\epsilon^2}{\epsilon^2}}=1+\sqrt{3}\ 10^9$.

(b) **MATLAB returns** rank(A) = 3, **but** rank(A*A) = 1. **Explain.**

The reason why is because ϵ^2 is on the order of machine error, so the computer reports it as 0. As a result, the matrix $M + \epsilon^2 I$ is recorded as M which is a matrix of rank 1.

- 10. Derive the asymptotic operation count for the following algorithms applied on a full-rank $m \times n (n \le m)$ matrix A.
 - (a) Reduced QR factorization by modified Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization.

Per Trefethen(pg 59), as the work of the algorithm is dominated by the inner most loop,

$$r_{i,j} = q^* v_j$$

$$v_j = v_j - r_{i,j}q_i,$$

it is sufficient to consider just this part. Observe, the first line is an inner product which requires m multiplications and m-1 additions. The second line, $v_j = v_j - r_{i,j}q_i$, requires m multiplications and m subtractions. Hence the total flops for a single iteration of the inner most loop is $\sim 4m$. We will now need to take a double sum, representing each loop, of 4m. As our outer loop is for i=1 to n and our inner loop is for j=i+1 to n we have that the number of flops is

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} 4m = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (n-i-1)4m = 4m \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} n - \sum_{i=1}^{n} i - \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1 \right) = 4m \left(n^2 - \frac{n(n+1)}{2} - n \right) = 4m \left(\frac{n^2}{2} - \frac{3n}{2} \right) \sim 2mn^2 \text{ flops.}$$

(b) Reduced QR factorization by Householder triangularization(without forming Q).

Per Trefethen(pg 74), as the work of the algorithm is dominated by the inner most loop, $A_{k:m,k:n} = A_{k:m,k:n} - 2v_k(v_k^*A_{k:m,k:n})$, it is sufficient to consider just this part. Observe, per iteration we have

- (m-k)(n-k) flops for the scalar product
- 2(m-k)(n-k) flops for the dot product
- (m-k)(n-k) flops for the subtraction,

hence the total flops for a single iteration of the inner most loop is 4(m-k)(n-k). We now need only take a single sum, representing the outer most loop. As our outer loop is from k=1 to n we have that the number of flops is

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} 4(m-k)(n-k) = 4\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} mn - \sum_{k=1}^{n} k(m+n) + \sum_{k=1}^{n} k^2\right) = 4\left(mn^2 - \frac{n(n+1)(m+n)}{2} + \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}\right)$$
$$= 4mn^2 - 2n(n+1)(m+n) + \frac{2n(n+1)(2n+1)}{3} = 2mn^2 - 2mn - \frac{2n^3 + 2n}{3} \sim 2mn^2 - \frac{2n^3}{3} \text{ flops.}$$